## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): T. CIPRESSO et al. Examiner Kristina B. Honeycutt

Serial No. 10/669,770 Group Art Unit 2178

Filed September 23, 2003 Docket No. SVL920020058US1
TITLE XML TO NUMERIC CONVERSION METHOD, SYTEM, ARTICLE OF

MANUFACTURE AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT

## **CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the EFS-Web system to Kristina B. Honeycutt of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 21, 2006.

\_\_/David Victor/ David W. Victor

## RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION SUBMITTED WITH REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Dear Sir:

This amendment is submitted in response to a final office action in the above case dated August 21, 2006, in which the Examiner rejected all the claims as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102(b)) and obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. On October 17, 2006, the attorney for Applicants and the Examiner had a phone interview discussing the rejection and proposed amendments to the claims. The Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments appeared to distinguish over the cited art, but that further consideration was needed. Applicants submit herewith the discussed amendments. Applicants submit that all pending claims 1-18 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2. Remarks/Arguments begin on page 6.